

1 ROBERT P. VARIAN (STATE BAR NO. 107459)  
rvarian@orrick.com  
2 JAMES N. KRAMER (STATE BAR NO. 154709)  
jkramer@orrick.com  
3 JUSTIN M. LICHTERMAN (STATE BAR NO. 225734)  
jlichterman@orrick.com  
4 JOSHUA D. WATTS (STATE BAR NO. 240977)  
jwatts@orrick.com  
5 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
The Orrick Building  
6 405 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669  
7 Telephone: +1-415-773-5700  
Facsimile: +1-415-773-5759  
8

9 Attorneys for Defendant  
NVIDIA CORPORATION

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION  
13

14 STEVEN NAKASH, individually and on  
15 behalf of all others similarly situated,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 NVIDIA CORPORATION,

19 Defendant.

Case No. 5:08-cv-04312-JW

*[Proposed]*  
**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
NVIDIA CORPORATION'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
CONSIDER WHETHER CASE  
SHOULD BE RELATED**

20 This Document Relates To:

21 *Sielicki v. NVIDIA Corp.*,  
22 Case No. 5:09-cv-00260-HRL  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 NVIDIA Corporation's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Case Should Be  
2 Related has been filed, asserting that the above-captioned cases are related pursuant to Civil L.R.  
3 3-12(b). The time for filing a statement to support or oppose the Motion has expired. On the  
4 basis of the material submitted to the Court, as the Judge assigned to the earliest filed case, I find  
5 that the cases:

6 ☐ **ARE NOT RELATED**


7 ☒ **ARE RELATED** as defined by Civil L.R. 3-12(a)

8 \* \* \*

9  
10 **ORDER**

11  
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

13  
14 DATED: February 6, 2009

15   
16 THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE  
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28